

Kingston Parish Council

Minutes of Extraordinary Meeting held at 8.00pm on 28 May 2013

Present:

Simon Draper, Chairman
Tim Fitzjohn
Julie Conder
Katherine Stalham
James Clear
Peter Stokes, Clerk

Members of the public: Mr and Mrs I MacMillan

1. Declarations of pecuniary interest

Simon Draper, Tim Fitzjohn and Julie Conder each declared disclosable pecuniary interests in the matter to be discussed because they live either next to or opposite North Farm House (see Item 2). The Parish Council therefore agreed to grant dispensations to these three councillors to enable them to take part in the discussion of Item 2.

2. Planning application ref S/1083/13/FL North Farm House

- 2.1. The parish council agreed that the proposal was an improvement over the previous application ref S/2092/11. There was less intrusion of privacy for the houses opposite, and the appearance of the house when approached from the north was also improved.
- 2.2. However it was agreed that the parish council objects to this planning application on the grounds that:
 - 2.2.1. The house is still too large, dominating and overlooking its neighbours.
 - 2.2.2. Despite the one-and-a-half storey approach, and the slight lower ground level compared to Rose Hide House, the roofline of the proposed house is still higher than that of Rose Hide House.
 - 2.2.3. The width of the house compared to the width of the plot on which it would stand results in the house being too close to the plot boundaries on both sides.
- 2.3. It was therefore agreed that the Clerk would draft a letter to SCDC objecting to the proposal and circulate for comments and approval. **Action: Clerk.**

Mr and Mrs MacMillan then left the meeting.

3. Planning matters in relation to The Old Rectory

- 3.1. The Clerk has received a letter from a resident with a list of possible breaches of planning or listed building regulations at The Old Rectory.
- 3.2. The informant says he does not know in each case if planning permission is required for the items listed.
- 3.3. The informant has requested confidentiality so his/her identity is not disclosed.
- 3.4. Following discussion it was agreed that no action would be taken as in most cases it would not be possible to verify the items without entering on private property. It was also felt that since Planning officials have made regular visits to the property over the last year or so, they have had ample opportunity to notice themselves any breaches of the kind listed.
- 3.5. It was therefore agreed that the Clerk would draft an appropriate reply to the informant and circulate for comments and approval.

Meeting ended at 21:15.

Signed.....

Date.....